

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/093,870 06/09/98 GUAN S 65304-003 **EXAMINER** IM62/0920 MATTHEW J RUSSO LEE, P RADER FISHMAN AND GRAUER .ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 140 1533 NORTH WOODWARD AVENUE 1746 BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48304 DATE MAILED: 09/20/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/093,870

Applicant(s)

Guan et al.

Examiner

Paul J. Lee

Group Art Unit 1746

|--|

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
\square received in this national stage application from the	ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	049
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	340
_ Notice of Informative active repaired any time in the	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/093,870

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-48, drawn to an apparatus/reactor for screening members of a library,

classified in class 422, subclass 429.

II. Claims 49-60, drawn to a method of screening members of a combinatorial library,

classified in class 436, subclass 2.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are

distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be

practiced by a method that is similar to the claimed method, but without the limitation of

contacting the confined library members simultaneously.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/093,870

Art Unit: 1746

Page 3

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The invention as illustrated by Fig. 8 and Fig. 12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/093,870

Art Unit: 1746

A telephone call was made to Matthew Russo on 9/15/99 to request an oral election to the 5.

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 6.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7.

should be directed to Paul J. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 305-0062.

PJL

September 15, 1999

Tul Z

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4